

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS 6010 S Rainbow Boulevard, Suite A-1 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118 (702) 486-7044



<u>Video Conferencing was available for this meeting at the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners located at 1105 Terminal Way, Suite 301, Reno, NV 89502</u>

Minutes

Friday, July 15, 2016 10:09 a.m. <u>Formal Hearing and Board Meeting Agenda</u>

Please Note: The Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners may 1) address agenda items out of sequence to accommodate persons appearing before the Board or to aid the efficiency or effectiveness of the meeting; 2) combine items for consideration by the public body; 3) pull or remove items from the agenda at any time. The Board may convene in closed session to consider the character, alleged misconduct, professional competence or physical or mental health of a person. See NRS 241.030. Prior to the commencement and conclusion of a contested case or a quasi judicial proceeding that may affect the due process rights of an individual the board may refuse to consider public comment. See NRS 233B.126.

At the discretion of the Chair, public comment is welcomed by the Board, but will be heard only when that item is reached and will be limited to five minutes per person. A public comment time will also be available as the last item on the agenda. The Chair may allow additional time to be given a speaker as time allows and in his/her sole discretion. Once all items on the agenda are completed the meeting will adjourn.

Asterisks (*) denote items on which the Board may take action. Action by the Board on an item may be to approve, deny, amend, or table.

1. Call to Order, roll call, and establish quorum

Pledge of Allegiance

Dr. Pinther called the meeting to order and Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel conducted the following roll call:

Dr. Timothy PintherPRESENT	Dr. Ali Shahrestani	PRESENT
Dr. Byron BlascoPRESENT	Mrs. Leslea Villigan	-PRESENT (via telephone)
Dr. J Gordon KinardPRESENT	Ms. Theresa Guillen	PRESENT
Dr. Brendan JohnsonPRESENT	Ms. M Sharon Gabriel	PRESENT
Dr. Gregory PisaniPRESENT	Ms. Stephanie Tyler	EXCUSED
Dr. Jason ChampagnePRESENT	_ •	

Others Present: John Hunt, Board Legal Counsel; Burt Wuester, Co-Legal Counsel; Debra Shaffer-Kugel, Executive Director; Stacie Hummel, Board Accountant.

Public Attendees: Catherine O'Mara, Nevada State Medical Association; Caryn Solie, RDH, NDHA; Alex Tanchek, for Neena Laxalt on behalf of NDHA; Syd McKenzie, TMCC/NDHA/CUSP/ and Oral Health Nevada; Joanna Jacob, NDA; Lisa Foster, Foster Consulting; Kelly Taylor, RDH; Robert Talley, NDA; William Horne, Horne-Duarte Government and Public Affairs; Brett Kandt, Attorney General-Board Special Counsel; Lydia Wyatt, Volunteers of Medicine Southern Nevada (VMSN); Florence Jameson, VMSN; Rebecca Edgeworth, VMSN; Amy S. Schmidt, VMSN; Laura Lucero, Counsel with Daehnke Stevens; Shari Peterson, CSN/NDHA; Ray Gates, LTGL; Sara Mercier, RDH; Neal Tomlinson, Hyperion Advisors.

Public Attendees Present but did not sign in: Adrian Ruiz, DDS; Erika Smith, DDS, Las Vegas Dental Association; Tina Tsou, Secretary for Las Vegas Dental Association.

2. Public Comment: (Public Comment is limited to three (3) minutes for each individual)

Catherine O'Mara with and on the behalf of the Nevada State Medical Association, which she stated that they are in strong opposition of the recent proposed rule changes regarding the Board allowing dentists' and dental hygienists' to administer facial injectables. She added that they did not believe that dentists' and dental hygienists' are permitted to administer facial injectables per NRS 630.138. She noted that in order for the Board to allow a dentist to administer facial injectables it would require a statutory change, which has not occurred.

Dr. Erika Smith approached the Board and read a written statement into the record (provided and posted for public viewing).

Dr. Adrian Ruiz approached the Board and read a written statement into the record (provided and posted for public viewing).

Ms. Tina Tso stood and read a statement. She stated that as the Secretary for the Las Vegas Dental Association, she spoke with twenty (20) dentists and they all concurred that both the Executive Director and Legal Counsel for the Board should be replaced.

Note: Prior to the commencement and conclusion of a contested case or a quasi-judicial proceeding that may affect the due process rights of an individual the board may refuse to consider public comment. See NRS 233B.126.

MOTION: Dr. Kinard made the motion to go out of agenda order to item (6)(e) and (f). Motion was seconded by Dr. Pisani. All were in favor of the motion.

- *6. New Business (For Possible Action)
 - *e. Review of Memorandum of Understanding between Volunteers in Medicine of Southern Nevada and CSN, Dental Hygiene Program, UNLV School of Dental Medicine and Roseman University to offer dental services by students (NRS 631.215, NRS 631.310 and NRS 631.3452) (For Possible Action)
 - (1) Lydia Wyatt, DDS

Dr. Lydia Wyatt and Florence Jameson approached the board and stated that they had a presentation regarding their program. Ms. Jameson gave a brief story of what inspired her to start a free and charitable clinic that would offer both medical and dental services. Her story was followed by a video showing what their clinic has been able to offer to those in need in the community. Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel clarified that that VMSN wants to partner up with the dental students at UNLV, Roseman University and at the dental hygiene and dental assistant students at CSN to offer services and that all students will be supervised. With the exception of those dental hygienists that hold a special health endorsement to allow them to treat patients without requiring the supervision of a dentist.

MOTION: Dr. Pisani made the motion to approve this item, the memorandum of Understanding between VMSN, CSN Dental Hygiene Program, UNLV School of Dental Medicine, and Roseman University. Motion was seconded by Dr. Kinard. All were in favor of the motion.

- *f. Approval/Rejection for Dental Hygiene Public Health Endorsement Program with Volunteers of Medicine Southern Nevada non-profit clinic (For Possible Action)
 - (1) Lydia Wyatt, DDS

Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel commented that this would be to approve or reject this program as an acceptable program for dental hygienists with a public health endorsement to practice at.

MOTION: Ms. Guillen made the motion to approve the public health endorsement program with VMSN. Motion was seconded by Dr. Johnson. All were in favor of the motion.

*3. Formal Hearing: Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners vs. Adam Persky, DMD (For Possible Action)

The purpose of this hearing is to consider the allegations regarding/related to the the verified complaint/complaint by the Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners for the violations of NRS 631 and NAC 631 and take such action the Board deems appropriate, pursuant to NRS 631.350. (Pursuant to NRS 241.030(1)(a), the board may, by motion, enter into closed session)

There was court reporter present for the hearing. Dr. Adam Persky was not present.

MOTION: Dr. Pisani made the motion to find Dr. Adam Persky guilty of the allegations of violating NRS 631.350(4)(n) and 631.349. Motion was seconded by Dr. Blasco. All were in favor of the motion.

MOTION: Dr. Pisani made the motion to find Dr. Adam Persky of violating NRS 631.075 NRS 631.095, NRS 631.3475(1), NRS 631.3475(2), and NRS 631.3475(4), as alleged by patient Stefanie Cook. Motion was seconded by Dr. Blasco. All were in favor of the motion.

MOTION: Dr. Blasco made the motion to find Dr. Adam Persky guilty of the allegations of violating NRS 631.150(2) for failing to notify the board of a change of address. Motion was seconded by Dr. Pisani. All were in favor of the motion.

MOTION: Dr. Blasco made the motion to find Dr. Adam Persky guilty of failure to renew his license pursuant to NRS 631.330 and NRS 631.3485(2). Motion was seconded by Dr. Johnson. All were in favor.

There was some further discussion regarding reimbursement fees.

MOTION: Dr. Pisani made the motion that the Board accept by the recommendations of the DSO as listed on exhibit 11, page 60220. (He read the recommendations into the record). Motion was seconded by Dr. Kinard. All were in favor.

**Refer to transcripts for this hearing for more information and details. **

Hearing adjourned at 12:49 p.m. Mrs. Villigan excused herself for the remainder of the meeting.

- *4. Executive Director's Report (For Possible Action)
 - *e. Contracts: NRS 631.190 (For Possible Action)
 - (2) Consideration/Approval by the Board for Lobbyist Services –NRS 631.190 (For Possible Action)
 - (a) Neal Tomlinson
 - (b) William Horne
 - (c) Rocky Finseth
 - (d) Lisa Foster

Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel commented that one of the lobbyists was out of the country, Mr. Rocky Finseth, and therefore could not be present. Mr. Tomlinson stepped forward and introduced himself to the Board and gave a brief history of his education and his professional background. Dr. Kinard inquired if there was a retainer fee. Mr. Tomlinson replied that there was a retainer fee that varied per client, their needs, and added that he was open to any financial arrangement. He noted that he did offer reduced fees to state boards and commissions. Dr. Kinard inquired if he was familiar with the Board's needs based on the last legislative session. Mr. Tomlinson he was familiar with both the Boards' and Legislatures' processes. The board thanked him for his time.

Mr. Horne stepped forward and introduced himself. He gave a synopsis of his educational and professional background as a lobbyist. His partner, Ms. Duarte, gave her educational background, and her background as a lobbyist. Dr. Pisani inquired if they were the two principals in their group. Mr. Horne answered affirmatively and noted that they started their firm in 2015.

 Ms. Foster introduced herself and gave her educational and professional background. She stated that as a lobbyist she liked to try and provide flexibility for her clients. She discussed some background history that was relevant to the work and experience that the Board would seek in a lobbyist. The board thanked her for her time.

Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel read a statement on behalf of Ms. Stephanie Tyler regarding the candidates interested in becoming the lobbyist for the board. She spoke in favor of accepting the offer from Mr. Horne and his firm. In Ms. Tyler's statement, she stated that she believed that Mr. Horne's background would greatly suit and appease the board's needs.

MOTION: Dr. Pisani made the motion for approval to move forward to discuss a contract with lobbyist firm Horne and Duarte, which approval would be contingent upon a final contract being signed. Motion was seconded by Ms. Guillen. All were in favor of the motion. Dr. Pinther thanked everyone for their time and information.

The Board agreed to review agenda item (4)(b).

*4. Executive Director's Report (For Possible Action)

b. Financials-NRS 631.180/NRS 631.190

(1) Review Balance Sheet and Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Balances for fiscal period July 1, 2015 through May 31. 2016 (For Possible Action)

Ms. Hummel briefly gave a review of the stated that there were some areas where they were over and under budget. She went over some of the changes made to it involved employee wages and benefits and explained that when employees reach ten (10) years with the agency they begin accruing additional sick and vacation time and benefits. She went on to discuss the areas that were over budget and under budget. Per Dr. Pisani's inquiry, Ms. Hummel confirmed that any fees collected for fines are sent to the State. Dr. Kinard inquired if there were any fees due for the audit that was recently conducted and whether if there were any invoices. Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel stated that upon asking what fees would be potentially owed for the audit conducted, she was informed that the Board would not be assessed any fees.

*c. Board Policies: NRS 631.190 (For Possible Action)

(1) Review, Discussion and Recommendations of Legislative Audit Report & Response

Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel went over the audit report and their 14 recommendations. She stated some of the changes had now been implemented in accordance with the recommendations. She went into some detail as to how the administrative staff has now implemented some of the changes. She stated that there were 3 changes that they did not approve. The first rejection was due to the fact that she disagreed with the amounts provided by the auditors as overcharges, and she stated the reasons for her belief that the amounts were incorrect. The second rejection was on the recommendation to create a panel, however, she noted that the statute as currently written did not allow for the Board to use or create a panel for the review of complaints. It was noted that the panel would similar to the IC committee used in the Medical Board's complaint process. Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel went over establishing a policy for travel, as recommended by the auditors.

(i) Consideration for Board to create a policy for reimbursed investigation and legal costs relative to remand cases referenced in the Notice for Informal Hearings (For Possible Action)

Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel stated that when a case is remanded costs are not passed on to the licensees. However, that the new policy could be that if a case is remanded, regardless if there are other cases that are similar in an informal hearing, the Board would assess the costs to the licensees though that specific case is remanded. Mr. Hunt suggested that the Board create a policy that would indicate that in an informal hearing the licensee "may" be accessed the costs which may include remanded cases when there are multiple cases being discussed.

MOTION: Dr. Pisani made the motion to table this item (c)(l)(i). Motion was seconded by Dr. Johnson. All were in favor of the motion.

- (ii) Consideration for the Board to set maximum travel limits (i.e. hotel costs) for Board Members, Administrative Staff and Other Agents of the Board (For Possible Action)
- (iii) Board to /review consider employing in-house counsel vs. contracting with independent counsel and costs associated with both (For Possible Action)

Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel stated that though the Board has the discretion to determine the amount they will pay for travel on. There was discussion regarding hotel prices, and how they vary not only state-to-state, but also vary amongst cities in Nevada, especially in Las Vegas when there are certain events taking place. Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel noted that currently they use all the state vendors as if the Board were part of the general fund, though the Board is a self-funded agency. The Deputy Attorney General commented that as a state employee, they abide by the state rates, and should any hotel cost more than the state rate, the individual traveling has to incur the difference in costs out-of-pocket. There was discussion among the board members of possibly tabling this agenda item. Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel suggested, perhaps, referring this item to the Budget and Finance committee for review and discussion.

MOTION: Dr. Pisani made the motion to table all items under agenda item (4)(c)(1), and refer all discussion to the Budget and Finance committee. Motion was seconded by Dr. Johnson. All were in favor of the motion.

The Board took a short recess from 1:56 p.m. to 2:21 p.m.

- *4. Executive Director's Report (For Possible Action)
 - *a. Minutes_NRS 631.190 (For Possible Action)
 - (1) Anesthesia Subcommittee Meeting-05/17/2016

MOTION: Dr. Johnson made the motion to approve the Anesthesia Subcommittee meeting on 05/17/2016. Motion was seconded by Dr. Blasco. All were in favor of the motion.

(2) Notice of Intent to Act on Proposed Regulations/Board Meeting-05/20/2016

MOTION: Dr. Pisani made the motion to approve the minutes from the workshop and board meeting on 05/20/2016. Motion was seconded by Ms. Guillen. All were in favor of the motion.

- *d. <u>Authorized Investigative Complaint</u>-NRS 631.363 (For Possible Action)
 - (1) Dr V-NRS 631.3474(8) (For Possible Action)

Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel went over the alleged violations.

MOTION: Dr. Blasco made the motion to authorize an investigation. Motion was seconded by Ms. Guillen. All were in favor of the motion.

(2) Dr W-NRS 631.3475(3) (For Possible Action)

Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel went over the alleged violations.

MOTION: Dr. Pisani made the motion to authorize an investigation. Motion was seconded by Dr. Blasco. All were in favor of the motion.

(3) Dr X-NAC 631.2213 and NAC 631.224 (For Possible Action)

Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel went over the alleged violations.

MOTION: Dr. Pisani made the motion to authorize an investigation. Motion was seconded by Ms. Guillen. All were in favor of the motion.

(4) Dr Y-NRS 629.051; NRS 629.061 and NRS 631.3485 (For Possible Action)

Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel went over the alleged violations.

MOTION: Dr. Blasco made the motion to authorize an investigation. Motion was seconded by Ms. Guillen. All were in favor of the motion.

(5) Dr Z-NRS 631.215; NRS 631.395(11) (For Possible Action)

Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel went over the alleged violations.

MOTION: Ms. Guillen made the motion to authorize an investigation. Motion was seconded by Ms. Gabriel. All were in favor of the motion.

*e. Contracts: NRS 631.190 (For Possible Action)

- (3) Review, Approve/Reject of Amendment to Current Contract for Legal Services-NRS 631.190 (For Possible Action)
 - (a) John Hunt, Esquire

Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel stated that in May the amended contract stated an amount of \$925,000, however, that the contract did expire until June 2017, which they failed to take into consideration when amended the contract; therefore, she asked that they re-amend the contract and raise the contract amount to \$1.2 Million. Mr. Hunt stated that in the nearly 30 years that he has served the board, he understands that the board may discontinue his services at any time.

MOTION: Dr. Blasco made the motion to approve the amendment to Mr. Hunt's current contract. Motion was seconded by Ms. Gabriel. All were in favor of the motion.

*f. Correspondence-NRS 631.190:

(1) Review correspondence from ADEX dated June 5, 2016 (For Possible Action)

Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel indicated that if they desired, they could direct her to send correspondence regarding the letter provided from ADEX. She noted that last November, Dr. Pappas agreed to come before the board to discuss any changes in the month of September. It was decided to invite Dr. Pappas to discuss any changes.

(2) Letter from Joint Commission on National Dental Examination (For Possible Action)

Dr. Kinard stated that he spoke with Dr. Sill in regards to the letter provided. He noted that Dr. Sill indicated that they will be eliminating part I and Part II, and instead will be administering one exam sometime in the third year of dental school. Furthermore, that the Joint Commission wanted their exam to be more correlated to the sciences actually used in dental/dental hygiene school.

*g. Compensation-NRS 631.190(For Possible Action)

(1) Request Approval for 2% COLA increase for Staff Members retro-active July 1, 2016 (For Possible Action)

Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel stated that she sent the staff evaluations to Dr. Pinther and Dr. Blasco for review. She added that she requesting approval to grant staff members a cost-of-living increase, but noted that FY17 had technically already commenced.

MOTION: Dr. Pinther made the motion to approve the request. Motion was seconded by Dr. Blasco. All were in favor of the motion.

It was agreed upon to go out of order to agenda item (7)(c).

416

417

418 419

420

*7. Resource Group Reports

*c. Examinations Liaisons (For Possible Action)

*(1) WREB/HERB Representatives (For Possible Action)

(Dr. Blasco; Ms. Gabriel)

Dr. Blasco gave a report on the most recent meeting he attended. He stated that at the DEBR meeting he noted that the ADA is driven by the American Student Dental Association (ASDA), and that it was made quite clear the ASA believes that if things are made easier for students, that the ADA memberships will go up. He added that the ASDA has offices inside the building of the ADA. He noted that there was a strong push from the ASDA to do away with dental exams and replace them with national portability, where they can practice in any state without having to go through the lengthy exam and application processes. He noted further, that the ADA sided with the ASDA in not wanting patient based exams, but favor adopting the Buffalo Model. Dr. Blasco discussed some of the discrepancies reported at the meeting regarding research that was allegedly done, but furnished no documents or reports of its validity. He revisited the desires being pushed by the ADSA and their threats to the ADA that should the boards not want to comply with their changes they will hire lobbyists to go to each state legislature to have the statutes changed to meet their desires.

Dr. Kinard stated that he did not have a report regarding the ADEX exam. It was noted that Dr. Pinther would be attending their meeting in August. Dr. Kinard noted that he submitted letter of resignation.

Dr. Blasco excused himself for the remainder of the meeting.

*5. Board Counsel's Report (For Possible Action)

a. Legal Actions/Lawsuit(s) Update

(1) District Court Case(s) Update

Mr. Hunt stated that there was no pending litigation. He reminded the Board members that if they are ever contacted, to please refer them to the Board office or himself.

*b. Consideration of Stipulation Agreements (For Possible Action)

(1) Suzan Fu, DDS

Mr. Hunt went over the provisions of the stipulation agreement. Dr. Kinard inquired on how costs are assessed in an informal hearing. Mr. Hunt stated that the costs are broken down in detail and are calculated by the hour.

MOTION: Dr. Pisani made the motion to adopt the stipulation agreement. Motion was seconded by Dr. Kinard. All were in favor of the motion.

(2) Raymond Kim, DDS

Mr. Hunt went over the provisions of the stipulation agreement.

MOTION: Dr. Pisani made the motion to adopt the stipulation agreement. Motion was seconded by Ms. Guillen. All were in favor of the motion.

*6. New Business (For Possible Action)

*a. Consideration of Anesthesia Evaluators/Inspectors Recommendations of Failure of Five Year Evaluation for the administration of conscious sedation pursuant to NAC 631.2233 (1 and 2) (For Possible Action)

(1) Dr. X

Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel stated that the evaluators, upon conducting the 5 year re-evaluation, recommended that Dr. X cease administering to pediatric patients until they complete 60 hours of didactic training.

MOTION: Dr. Pisani made the motion to affirm the recommendation. Motion was seconded by Ms. Guillen. All were in favor of the motion.

483

484

(2) Dr. Y

Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel commented that during the 5 year re-evaluation, Dr. Y failed the oral evaluation questions, therefore, the evaluators recommended failing the evaluation until Dr. Y reviews the oral emergency scenarios.

MOTION: Ms. Guillen made the motion to affirm the failure. Motion was seconded by Ms. Gabriel. All were in favor of the motion.

- *b. Consideration to Grant re-evaluation upon satisfying the recommendations by the Evaluator/Inspectors for the Conscious Sedation Permit Holder pursuant to NAC 631.2235 (2 and 3) (For Possible Action)
 - (1) Dr. X

Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel asked that should Dr. Y successfully complete the recommendation, and upon Dr. Y's request, that they grant approval for a reevaluation.

MOTION: Dr. Pisani made the motion to approve. Motion was seconded by Ms. Guillen. All were in favor of the motion.

- *c. Consideration to Grant re-evaluation for the Oral Examination Portion only for the Conscious Sedation Permit Holder pursuant to NAC 631.2235 (2 and 3) (For Possible Action)
 - (1) Dr. Y

Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel requested that should Dr. Y request to have a re-evaluation that they grant her permission to authorize the re-evaluation.

MOTION: Ms. Guillen made the motion to approve. Motion was seconded by Dr. Pisani. All were in favor of the motion.

- *d. Request to the Board for a review the investigation process and investigation costs-NRS 631.190(For Possible Action)
 - (1) Adrian Ruiz, DDS

Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel stated that Dr. Ruiz submitted suggestions for changes. She added that she forwarded the information to the chair of the Legislative and Disciplinary Action Committee. She noted that because of the audit, a notice was sent to Dr. Ruiz that she would have to delay fulfilling his request until the audit was concluded, which had, and was now being brought before the Board for review. Dr. Kinard stated that the he had spoken with Dr. Ruiz on several occasions, and commented that Dr. Ruiz in his previous stipulation agreements, had had signed and agreed to reimbursing the board. Mr. Hunt noted for the record that in the formal hearing of Dr. Ruiz, there was evidence that Dr. Ruiz submitted a false document and that in light of that fact, the attorney advising Dr. Ruiz asked for a stipulation agreement deal. Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel noted that the breakdown of the fees could not be made available, as she was not the Executive Director at the time of the stipulation agreements, and that the financials for that period were not available. She noted that since the audit, many of the suggestions had begun to be implemented and/or or were in the process of creating a policy.

MOTION: Dr. Pisani made the motion to have the Executive Director send a response to Dr. Ruiz regarding how they have remedied the issues and/or concerns. Motion was seconded by Ms. Guillen. All were in favor of the motion.

- *g. Approval of Voluntary Surrender of License NAC 631.160 (For Possible Action)
 - (1) Gabrielle Burtenshaw, RDH
 - (2) Cynthia Christensen, RDH
 - (3) Vickie Connell, RDH
 - (4) James K. Olpin, DMD

Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel stated that there was no pending action for any of the licensees.

MOTION: Dr. Kinard made the motion to approve. Motion was seconded by Ms. Guillen. All were in favor of the motion.

- *h. Approval for Anesthesia-Permanent Permit NAC 631.2233 (For Possible Action)
 - (1) Conscious Sedation (For Possible Action)
 - a. Drew D. Richards, DDS

Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel stated that Dr. Richards passed the inspection and that Dr. Blasco recommended approval.

MOTION: Ms. Guillen made the motion to approve. Motion was seconded by Ms. Gabriel. All were in favor of the motion; Dr. Blasco was excused from the vote and Dr. Johnson abstained.

- *i. Approval for Anesthesia-Temporary Permit NAC 631.2254 (For Possible Action)
 - (1) General Anesthesia (For Possible Action)
 - a. Blair A. Isom, DDS

Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel stated that Dr. Blasco reviewed the application and recommended approval.

MOTION: Ms. Guillen made the motion to approve. Motion was seconded by Dr. Pisani. All were in favor of the motion; Dr. Blasco was excused and Dr. Johnson abstained.

- *j. Approval for a 90-Day Extension of Anesthesia Permit NAC 631.2254(2) (For Possible Action)
 - *(1) Conscious Sedation (For Possible Action) a. Amy M.K. French, DMD

Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel stated that more time was needed to conduct the evaluation.

MOTION: Ms. Gabriel made the motion to approve. Motion was seconded by Ms. Guillen. All were in favor of the motion.

- *k. Approval for Anesthesia Evaluators-NRS 631.190 (For Possible Action)
 - (1) Joshua Branco, DMD Conscious Sedation
 - (2) Steven A. Saxe, DMD General Anesthesia
 - (3) Gregory J. Hunter, DMD, MD General Anesthesia

Dr. Johnson stated that he reviewed the applications and recommended approval.

MOTION: Dr. Pisani made the motion to approve. Motion was seconded by Ms. Gabriel . All were in favor of the motion; Dr. Johnson abstained.

- *7. Resource Group Reports
 - *a. Legislative and Dental Practice (For Possible Action)

(Chair: Dr. Pinther; Dr. Champagne; Dr. Blasco; Dr. Kinard; Ms. Guillen)

Dr. Pinther indicated that he did not have a report.

*b. <u>Legal and Disciplinary Action</u> (For Possible Action)

(Chair: Dr. Kinard; Dr. Pisani; Dr. Blasco; Dr. Shahrestani, Mrs. Villigan)

Dr. Kinard indicated that he did not have a report.

*(2) <u>ADEX Representatives</u> (For Possible Action) (Dr. Kinard)

Dr. Kinard indicated that he did not have a report.

*d. Continuing Education (For Possible Action)

(Dr. Blasco, Chair; Dr. Shahrestani, Dr. Pisani; Mrs. Villigan; Ms. Gabriel)

Dr. Shahrestani indicated that he did not have a report.

*e. Committee of Dental Hygiene (For Possible Action)

(Chair: Ms. Guillen, Mrs. Villigan, Ms. Gabriel, Dr. Shahrestani)

Ms. Guillen indicated that she did not have a report.

*f. Specialty (For Possible Action)

(Chair: Dr. Pisani; Dr. Johnson; Dr. Pinther)

Dr. Pinther indicated that he did not have a report.

*g. Anesthesia (For Possible Action)

(Chair: Dr. Johnson; Dr. Pinther; Dr. Champagne; Dr. Kinard)

Dr. Pinther indicated that he did not have a report.

*h. <u>Infection Control</u> (For Possible Action)

(Chair: Mrs. Villigan; Dr. Blasco; Dr. Champagne; Dr. Pisani; Ms. Gabriel)

Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel stated that the only thing to report was that they streamlined the inspection form, which had been uploaded to the Board website for viewing.

*i. Budget and Finance Committee (For Possible Action)

(Chair: Dr. Blasco, Dr. Pinther, Ms. Tyler, Ms. Guillen)

Dr. Pinther indicated that they will be scheduling a committee soon.

8. Public Comment: (Public Comment is limited to three (3) minutes for each individual)

There was no public comment from attendees in Reno.

Dr. Talley indicated that the NDA will be sending out a notice regarding the dental hygiene regulation changes so that their members become aware of the new changes so they stay in compliance. Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel added that she could place a bulletin in the October newsletter.

Mr. Hunt stated that it would behoove the Board to make the regulations unambiguous and clarify how, when, and where licensee can administer facial injectables. He added that he spoke with the Medical Association and was told that they would be going to the LCB to address the regulations and botox. He added further, that there is a statute that states that botulinum toxin is only administrable only by those in the field of medicine. He stated that Advisory Opinions are not binding. Dr. Talley commented that if dentists felt strongly enough about their ability to administer facial injectables, such as the botulinum toxin, then they would need to push for a statutory change.

Note: No vote may be taken upon a matter raised under this item of the agenda until the matter itself has been specifically included on an agenda as an item upon which action may be taken. (NRS 241.020)

9. Announcements: Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel stated that there were no announcements.

*10. Adjournment (For Possible Action)

MOTION: Dr. Pisani made the motion to adjourn. Motion was seconded by Ms. Guillen. All were in favor of the motion.

Meeting Adjourned at 3:31 p.m.

Respectfully submitted by:

Debra Shaffer-Kugel, Executive Director