Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners



6010 S. Rainbow Blvd., Bldg. A, Ste.1 • Las Vegas, NV 89118 • (702) 486-7044 • (800) DDS-EXAM • Fax (702) 486-7046

BULLETIN

The Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners is issuing this Bulletin to advise licensed dentists of an individual by the name of Andrea Smith (aka Andrea Brooksby) provided dental hygiene treatments without holding a valid license to practice dental hygiene in the State of Nevada.

The Board has taken the appropriate action in District Court regarding the illegal practice of dental hygiene by Ms. Andrea Smith.

As a reminder, before hiring any dentist or dental hygienist to render treatment in your office, you are required pursuant to NAC 631.230 to verify with the Board the license of the dentist or dental hygienist to ensure compliance.

Should you have any contact with Ms. Smith, please contact the Board office at (702) 486-7044.

Thank you.

CLERK OF THE COURT

NOTC

John A. Hunt, Esq. (NSBN 1888) Bert Wuester Jr., Esq. (NSBN 5556)

MORRIS POLICH & PURDY, LLP

500 South Rancho Drive, Suite 17

Las Vegas, Nevada 89106

ph. (702) 862-8300; fax (702) 862-8400

email: jhunt@mpplaw.com email: bwuester@mpplaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF DENTAL **EXAMINERS.**

Plaintiff,

VS.

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

26

28

ANDREA BROOKSBY SMITH, ANDREA SMITH, an individual; and DOES I-V and ROE CORPORATIONS I-V,

Defendants.

Case No. A-15-718338-C Dept. No. 32

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING PERMANENT INJUNCTION

PLEASE TAKE NOTCE that an ORDER GRANTING PERMANENT INJUNCTION

was filed on the 29th day of September 2015, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto.

20 DATED this 2nd day of October, 2015.

MORRIS POLICH & PURDY, LLP

By /s/ John A. Hunt, Esq. John A. Hunt, Esq. (NSBN 1888) Bert Wuester Jr., Esq. (NSBN 5556) 500 South Rancho Drive, Suite 17 Las Vegas, Nevada 89106 ph. (702) 862-8300; fax (702) 862-8400 email: jhunt@mpplaw.com

email: <u>bwuester@mpplaw.com</u>

Attorneys for Plaintiff

500 S. Rancho Dr., Suite 17

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the 2nd day of October, 2015, pursuant to NRCP 5(b)(2)(B), I placed a true and correct copy of the foregoing NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING PERMANENT INJUNCTION in the United States Mail, at Las Vegas, Nevada, with first-class postage fully prepaid, addressed to the following:

Andrea Brooksby Smith aka Andrea Smith Cheyenne Advanced Dental Arts 8960 W. Cheyenne Avenue, #190 Las Vegas, Nevada 89129

By:

An Employee of Morris Polich & Purdy, LLF

H:\WDDOCS\3336\38569\LV172368.DOCX

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Merris Polich & Perdy, LLP 500 S. Rancho Dr., Suite 17 Las Vegas, Nevada 89106 Ph. (702) 862-8300 Fax (702) 862-8400 www.mpolaw.com

ORIGINAL

Electronically Filed 09/29/2015 02:21:50 PM

Alm & Chum

CLERK OF THE COURT

Code:

John A. Hunt, Esq. (NSBN 1888)
Bert Wuester Jr., Esq. (NSBN 5556)
MORRIS POLICH & PURDY, LLP
500 South Rancho Drive, Suite 17
Las Vegas, Nevada 89106

ph. (702) 862-8300; fax (702) 862-8400

email: jhunt@mpplaw.com email: bwuester@mpplaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS.

Plaintiff,

VS.

10

11

12

13

15||

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

ANDREA BROOKSBY SMITH, aka ANDREA SMITH, an individual; and DOES I-V and ROE CORPORATIONS I-V,

Defendants.

Case No. A-15-718338-C Dept. No. 32

ORDER re: GRANTING
PERMANENT INJUNCTION

WHEREAS on September 9, 2015, this matter on for hearing upon Plaintiff, Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners' ("Plaintiff" or the "Board"), request/application for Preliminary Injunction, as more fully noted in the *Temporary Restraining Order* filed on May 21, 2015.

Plaintiff appeared by and through its attorneys, John A. Hunt, Esq. and Bert Wuester Jr., Esq. of the law firm Morris Polich & Purdy, LLP. Defendant, Andrea Brooksby Smith, aka Andrea Smith ("Defendant" or "Smith"), appeared in proper person.

The Court having reviewed the pleadings and papers on file herein (see specific documents referenced below), having heard the sworn testimony of the witnesses, examined the exhibits admitted into evidence during the hearing, and heard argument of Smith and counsel for

FINDINGS & CONCLUSIONS

- The Board filed with the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada, its Verified Complaint ("Complaint") against Defendant on May 13, 2015, and on May 15, 2015, filed Plaintiff's Ex Parte Application for Temporary Restraining Order & Preliminary Injunction ("Application"). Further, on May 21, 2015, a Temporary Restraining Order ("TRO") was filed. On May 21, 2015, a Summons was issued for Smith.
- On May 26, 2015, Smith was served with a copy of the Summons, Complaint, Application, TRO, and copies of MP Investigation Surveillance video dated 3-30-15 and 4-21-15. See Affidavit of Service filed May 27, 2015.
- On June 4, 2015, at 9:00 a.m. the above captioned matter came on for hearing upon the Board's request/application for Preliminary Injunction, as more fully noted in the TRO and Application. At the hearing, Smith advised she had just recently retained counsel (i.e., Mr. Cannon) but that he could not be present at the hearing due to prior commitments. The Court, upon being advised of Smith's recent retaining of counsel, noted it was inclined to continue the hearing on the Board's request for a preliminary injunction to allow time for Smith's counsel to review the matter and file a response to the Board's request for preliminary injunction. The Court ordered that Smith shall have until and including Thursday, June 18, 2015, to file and serve her opposition, if any, to the Plaintiff's Ex Parte Application for Temporary Restraining Order & Preliminary Injunction filed on May 15, 2015. In addition, the Court ordered that the Temporary Restraining Order filed on May 21, 2015, shall be and is hereby extended to and including July 8, 2015. It was also ordered that with respect to Plaintiff's Ex Parte Application for Temporary Restraining Order & Preliminary Injunction filed on May 15, 2015, the matter was hereby set for an evidentiary hearing beginning at 9:00 a.m. on Wednesday, July 8, 2015.

13 14

10

11

12

22 23

21

24 25

At the evidentiary hearing, parties may present evidence via witness testimony in court, via phone, or via affidavit or declaration. Also, pursuant to the stipulation of Smith made in open court, it was ordered that Smith shall not use a Cavitron device or Cavitron like device (i.e., ultrasonic scaler) until further order of the Court. <u>See Order filed June 15, 2015</u> (generated from the June 4, 2015, hearing).

- 4. On July 8, 2015, a Stipulation and Order was filed which, in part, continued the preliminary injunction hearing from July 8, 2015, at 9:00 a.m. to September 9, 2015, at 1:30 p.m. and continued the force and effect of the Order filed June 15, 2015, as well as the TRO filed May 21, 2015.
- 5. On August 4, 2015, Smith's attorney filed his Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of Record on an Order Shortening Time which was set for hearing on August 11, 2015, at 9:00 a.m. The hearing was vacated and taken off-calendar and the motion was granted pursuant to court minutes dated August 6, 2015. On August 17, 2015, an Order to Withdraw as Attorney of Record was filed relative to Smith's attorney, Walter R. Cannon, Esq. of the law firm Olson, Cannon, Gormley Angulo & Stoberski.
- 6. On September 2, 2015, Smith, in proper person, filed Defendants [sic] Reply to Plaintiff's Allegations and Pleading ("Smith's Reply"). On September 4, 2015, the Board filed Plaintiff's Response to Defendants [sic] Reply to Plaintiff's Allegations and Pleading (the "Board's Response").
- 7. Before the commencement of the September 9, 2015, hearing the Board, pursuant to NRCP 65(a)(2), moved the Court to consolidate the hearing on the Board's request for

^{&#}x27;As to a permanent injunction, the Board requested the Court, pursuant to NRCP 65(a)(2),

- 8. At the beginning of the September 9, 2015, hearing, the exclusory rule was invoked. As a consequence, the parties' witnesses and/or potential witnesses were excluded from the courtroom until called to testify. This included L. Scott Brooksby, DDS, Paige Thomas, and Gary A. Braun, DMD, MS.
- At the hearing on September 9, 2015, the issue of potential criminal prosecution relative to illegal practice of dental hygiene and/or aiding and abetting the illegal practice of dental hygiene was addressed. This included the prospect of Smith and/or L. Scott Brooksby, DDS (to the extent they are called to testify or elect to take the stand to testify) invoking their privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment to the United States Constitution. The Court on the record and in open court addressed this matter with Smith which included, but was

consolidate the hearing on the Board's request for preliminary injunction with a trial on the merits. On such a point, NRCP 65(a)(2) provides:

(2) Consolidation of Hearing With Trial on Merits. Before or after the commencement of the hearing of an application for a preliminary injunction, the court may order the trial of the action on the merits to be advanced and consolidated with the hearing of the application. Even when this consolidation is not ordered, any evidence received upon an application for a preliminary injunction which would be admissible upon the trial on the merits becomes part of the record on the trial and need not be repeated upon the trial. This subdivision (a)(2) shall be so construed and applied as to save to the parties any rights they may have to trial by jury.

Morris Polich & Pardy, Lt. 509 S. Asercha Dr. (10m 17 Las Vegas, Nevada 89106 Ph. (702) 862-8300 Fax (702) 862-8400 7

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

21. That Defendant, Andrea Brooksby Smith, aka Andrea Smith, has engaged in acts or practices which constitute offenses against chapter 631 of the Nevada Revised Statutes and/or chapter 631 of the Nevada Administrative Code. Namely, as more fully noted herein, Smith has practiced dental hygiene, and/or as a dental hygienist in this state without a license.

- 22. That the above-captioned Court is a district court of a county of the State of Nevada and is therefore, pursuant to NRS 631.400(5), specifically authorized, upon application of the Board, to issue an injunction or other appropriate order restraining conduct which constitutes or will constitute an offense against chapter 631 of the Nevada Revised Statutes and/or chapter 631 of the Nevada Administrative Code.
- 23. Pursuant to NRS 631.400(5), the Board's application for an order restraining conduct which constitutes or will constitute an offense against chapter 631 of the Nevada Revised Statutes is to be governed by Rule 65 of the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure, except that no bond or undertaking is required in any action commenced by the Board.
- 24. An injunction is also authorized under NRS 33.010 which provides, in pertinent part, as follows:

An injunction may be granted when the defendant is about to do some act in violation of plaintiff's right respecting the subject of the action, and tending to render the judgment ineffectual.

25. As more fully noted herein, the Board has shown by a preponderance of the evidence that Smith has engaged in practices which constitute an offense against chapter 631 of the Nevada Revised Statutes and/or chapter 631 of the Nevada Administrative Code.

- 26. The Board has demonstrated it and/or the public could suffer irreparable harm if injunctive relief is not issued.
- 27. The public interest in enjoining Defendant is greater than the interests of Defendant to continue to practice dental hygiene in the State of Nevada without a license. See Ellis v. McDaniel, 95 Nev. 455, 596 P.2d 222 (1979) (discussion the interest of the public in whether to grant injunctive relief).
- 28. The balance of hardships between the Board and Smith favors the issuance of injunctive relief or other appropriate order, pursuant to NRS 631.400(5).
- 29. The issuance of injunctive relief or other appropriate order in this matter will not have a significant adverse impact on any public interest.
- 30. Also, in addition to the above, as noted at the close of the hearing on September 9, 2015, the following further findings and/or conclusions were specifically made by the Court from the bench:
 - A. The Board's investigation of Smith (a non-licensee) and its seeking injunctive or other appropriate order from the district court in this matter was and is appropriate, authorized by the legislature, and appropriate to protect the public. In totem, the Board acted well within its authority. See NRS 631.400(5); see also Exhibit 1, Board Meeting Agenda for October 3, 2014, at pg. 2, and Exhibit 2, Board Meeting Minutes from the October 3, 2014, meeting, at pg. 5, attached to the Board's Response.
 - B. A dentist who is licensed in the State of Nevada may authorize a dental assistant in his or her employ and under his or her supervision to expose radiographs. See NAC

631.220(1)(a). Here, however, based upon a preponderance of the evidence presented, x-rays were taken by Smith at the dental offices of L. Scott Brooksby, DDS, i.e., Cheyenne Advanced Dental Arts, 8960 W. Cheyenne Ave, #190, Las Vegas, Nevada, but in doing so, the patient did not wear and/or was not covered with a lead apron while the radiographs were being taken. At a minimum, the Court finds this practice of the patient not wearing a lead apron during x-rays being taken to be of concern. On such issue, NAC 631.260 provides:

NAC 631.260 Radiographic procedures: Lead apron required; certified statement and attestation of qualifications required for certain persons who assist in procedures. (NRS 631.190)

- 1. Each patient who is undergoing a radiographic procedure must be covered with a lead apron.
- 2. Each licensee who employs any person, other than a dental hygienist, to assist him or her in radiographic procedures shall include with his or her application for renewal of his or her license a certified statement:
- (a) Containing the name of each person so employed, his or her position and the date he or she began to assist the licensee in radiographic procedures; and
 - (b) Attesting that each such employee has received:
- (1) Adequate instruction concerning radiographic procedures and is qualified to operate radiographic equipment as required pursuant to subsection 3 of NAC 459.552;
- (2) Training in cardiopulmonary resuscitation at least every 2 years while so employed;
- (3) A minimum of 4 hours of continuing education in infection control every 2 years while so employed; and
- (4) Before beginning such employment, a copy of this chapter and chapter 631 of NRS in paper or electronic format.
- [Bd. of Dental Exam'rs, § XXV, eff. 7-21-82]—(NAC A 7-30-84; 9-13-85; 9-6-96; R020-14, 6-23-2014) (Underline emphasis added.)
- C. The Court finds Smith engaged in the illegal practice of dental hygiene on April 21, 2015, relative to her use of a cavitron device and/or relative to her cleaning of Paige Thomas' teeth, activities for which a dental hygiene license is required. Same was in violation of NAC 631.210(1)(a) and (1)(b) which provide:

26

27

NAC 631.210 Dental hygienists: Authorization to perform certain services; referral of patient to authorizing dentist for certain purposes. (NRS 631.190, 631.310, 631.313, 631.317)

- 1. A dentist who is licensed in this State may authorize a dental hygienist in his or her employ to:
 - (a) Remove stains, deposits and accretions, including dental calculus.
- (b) Smooth the natural and restored surface of a tooth by using the procedures and instruments commonly used in oral prophylaxis, except that an abrasive stone, disc or bur may be used only to polish a restoration. As used in this paragraph, "oral prophylaxis" means the preventive dental procedure of scaling and polishing which includes the removal of calculus, soft deposits, plaques and stains and the smoothing of unattached tooth surfaces in order to create an environment in which hard and soft tissues can be maintained in good health by the patient.
- D. In part, evidence of Smith's illegal practice of dental hygiene, as noted above, includes, but is not necessarily limited to:
 - Exhibit 5, the photograph which depicts Smith utilizing a cavitron on Paige Thomas.
 - ii. Exhibit 2, the billing statement reflecting, in part, \$70.00 for an oral prophylaxis which was testified to be referring to a teeth cleaning. Also, the Court took judicial notice of the definition of oral prophylaxis via a medical dictionary definition.
 - iii. Dr. Braun's opinions that Smith's activity was the practice of dental hygiene which were based, in part, upon the videos (Exhibits 3 and 4), Smith's use of a cavitron, and Smith's removal of tarter/calculus.
- E. Based upon the evidence and testimony of Dr. Braun, Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel, and Smith, the Court finds there to be other concerns regarding the following matters/activities by Smith and/or occurring at the dental offices of L. Scott Brooksby, DDS, i.e., Cheyenne Advanced Dental Arts, 8960 W. Cheyenne Ave, #190, Las Vegas,

Nevada:

- The patient physically holding/steadying the x-ray device during the taking of x-rays.
- ii. Smith touching with her hand the cavitron's foot pedal and then immediately resuming to clean the teeth of Paige Smith without first changing her gloves.
- iii. Smith touching with her hand her hair and/or touching with her hand her face and then immediately resuming to clean the teeth of Paige Smith without first changing her gloves.
- F. In part, Smith testified regarding a variety of matters, including the following:
 - i. Smith testified she has worked on a part time basis for her father, L. Scott Brooksby, DDS at the dental offices of L. Scott Brooksby, DDS, i.e., Cheyenne Advanced Dental Arts, 8960 W. Cheyenne Ave, #190, Las Vegas, Nevada since December 2014.
 - ii. With regards to the activities she performed on Paige Smith on April 21, 2015, (i.e., Smith performing teeth cleanings), Smith further testified that on more than twenty (20) other occasions she performed such activities on other patients at her father's dental practice.
 - iii. Smith further testified that it was fair to say that such activity (i.e., her performing teeth cleanings on patients) was ongoing since December 2014 until being served with the TRO on or about May 26, 2015.
 - iv. Smith testified she has no formal training as a dental assistant and/or dental hygienist and has never attended school for either.
 - v. Smith testified she only received "on the job training" at her father's dental practice. Smith testified she has never received any training relative to

human infection control matters.

- vi. Smith acknowledged the activity she undertook with regards to Paige Thomas on April 21, 2015, included teeth cleaning.
- v. Smith testified she believed she could perform teeth cleaning based, in part, upon what she observed at her father's dental office, based upon her conversations with dental assistant(s) and dental hygienist(s), and based upon conversation with her father, L. Scott Brooksby, DDS.
- vi. Smith testified that her father was aware of her performing teeth cleanings on patients at his dental office.
- G. Based upon the evidence and testimony, the Court finds that Smith's father, L. Scott Brooksby, DDS, allowed Smith to clean patient's teeth at his dental office. The Court further finds that common sense should and could have caused Smith to recognize the use of a cavitron device requires training she has not received. The Court further finds that based upon the evidence and testimony of Smith, that Smith's father, L. Scott Brooksby, DDS, condoned and authorized Smith's activities in cleaning patients' teeth at his dental office.
- H. Based upon the evidence and testimony by Smith that she, on more than twenty (20) occasions from between December 2014 and May 26, 2015 (i.e., being served with the TRO), cleaned the teeth of patients, Smith illegally practiced dental hygiene in the State of Nevada by cleaning teeth of patients without a license on more than twenty (20) occasions.
- 31. The Court retains and/or has continuing jurisdiction regarding this matter. Same includes, but is not necessarily limited to, contempt powers relative to violations of the Court's orders.

25

26

27

28

32. That, based upon this hearing being consolidated with the merits pursuant to NRCP 65(a)(2), as noted above, this Order re: Permanent Injunction addresses and fully resolve all matters and/or claims for relief alleged by the parties, including those in the Board's Verified Complaint filed May 13, 2015, with the exception of the Board's claim for attorney's fees and costs which may be addressed via appropriate filing(s) and/or motion practice at a later date, pursuant to applicable statute and/or rule. In addition, with regards to any claims for relief or requests for relief as contained in *Defendants [sic] Reply to Plaintiff's Allegations and Pleading* filed September 2, 2015, the same are hereby denied.

33. If any finding is properly a conclusion or if any conclusion is properly a finding, it shall be so deemed. Further, if any order, as referenced below, is properly a finding or conclusion, it shall also be so deemed.

II. ORDER

1. IT IS ORDERED that the Board's request for a Permanent Injunction is granted as to Defendant, Andrea Brooksby Smith, aka Andrea Smith. Specifically, Smith is permanently enjoined from practicing dental hygiene in the State of Nevada (this includes, but is not necessarily limited to, at dental offices of L. Scott Brooksby, DDS, i.e., Cheyenne Advanced Dental Arts, 8960 W. Cheyenne Ave, #190, Las Vegas, Nevada), without a license properly issued from the Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners. With regards to dental hygienist and dental hygiene, NAC 631.210 provides:

NAC 631.210 Dental hygienists: Authorization to perform certain services; referral of patient to authorizing dentist for certain purposes. (NRS 631.190, 631.310, 631.313, 631.317)

- 1. A dentist who is licensed in this State may authorize a dental hygienist in his employ to:
- (a) Remove stains, deposits and accretions, including dental calculus.
- (b) Smooth the natural and restored surface of a tooth by using the procedures and instruments commonly used in oral prophylaxis, except that an abrasive stone,

27

28

disc or bur may be used only to polish a restoration. As used in this paragraph, "oral prophylaxis" means the preventive dental procedure of scaling and polishing which includes the removal of calculus, soft deposits, plaques and stains and the smoothing of unattached tooth surfaces in order to create an environment in which hard and soft tissues can be maintained in good health by the patient.

(c) Provide dental hygiene care that includes:

- (1) Assessment of the oral health of patients through medical and dental histories, radiographs, indices, risk assessments and intraoral and extraoral procedures that analyze and identify the oral health needs and problems of patients.
- (2) Development and implementation of a dental hygiene care plan to address the oral health needs and problems of patients described in subparagraph (1).
- (3) Evaluation of oral and periodontal health after the implementation of the dental hygiene care plan described in subparagraph (2) in order to identify the subsequent treatment, continued care and referral needs of the patient.

(d) Take the following types of impressions:

- (1) Those used for the preparation of diagnostic models;
- (2) Those used for the fabrication of temporary crowns or bridges; and
- (3) Those used for the fabrication of temporary removable appliances, provided no missing teeth are replaced by those appliances.
- (e) Perform subgingival curettage.
- (f) Expose radiographs.
- (g) Place and remove a periodontal pack.
- (h) Remove excess cement from cemented restorations and orthodontic appliances. A dental hygienist may not use a rotary cutting instrument to remove excess cement from restorations or orthodontic appliances.
- (i) Train and instruct persons in the techniques of oral hygiene and preventive procedures.
- (j) Recement and repair temporary crowns and bridges.
- (k) Recement permanent crowns and bridges with nonpermanent material as a palliative treatment.
- (1) Place a temporary restoration with nonpermanent material as a palliative treatment.
- (m) Administer local intraoral chemotherapeutic agents in any form except aerosol, including, but not limited to:
 - (1) Antimicrobial agents;
 - (2) Fluoride preparations;
 - (3) Topical antibiotics;
 - (4) Topical anesthetics; and
 - (5) Topical desensitizing agents.
- (n) Apply pit and fissure scalant to the dentition for the prevention of decay. Before performing any of the services set forth in this subsection, the dental hygienist must obtain authorization from the licensed dentist of the patient on

(f) Apply and activate agents for bleaching teeth with a light source.

(g) Use a laser that has been cleared by the Food and Drug Administration to perform intrasulcular periodontal procedures or tooth whitening procedures if:

(1) The use of such a laser for those purposes is within the scope of the education, experience and training of the dental hygienist;

(2) Before operating the laser, the dental hygienist has provided proof to the supervising dentist that the dental hygienist has successfully completed a course in laser proficiency that:

(I) Is at least 6 hours in length; and

(II) Is based on the Curriculum Guidelines and Standards for Dental Laser Education, adopted by reference pursuant to NAC 631.035;

(3) The supervising dentist has successfully completed a course in laser proficiency that:

(I) Is at least 6 hours in length; and

- (II) Is based on the Curriculum Guidelines and Standards for Dental Laser Education, adopted by reference pursuant to NAC 631.035. The dental hygienist must obtain authorization from the licensed dentist of the patient on whom the services authorized pursuant to this subsection are to be performed.
- 3. If a dentist who is licensed in this State has in his employ and under his supervision a dental hygienist who has:
- (a) Successfully completed a course of continuing education in the administering of local anesthetics or nitrous oxide-oxygen analgesia, or both, which has been approved by the Board; or
- (b) Graduated from an accredited program of dental hygiene which includes the administering of local anesthetics or nitrous oxide-oxygen analgesia, or both, in

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21 22

23

24

25 26 27

28

its curriculum, the dentist may authorize the dental hygienist to administer local anesthetics or nitrous oxide-oxygen analgesia, or both, as appropriate, if the dental hygienist has received from the Board a certificate certifying the hygienist to this level of proficiency. The dental hygienist must obtain the authorization from the licensed dentist of the patient on whom the services are to be performed.

- 4. A dental hygienist in a health care facility may administer local intraoral chemotherapeutic agents and, if he has complied with paragraph (a) or (b) of subsection 3, may administer local anesthetics or nitrous oxide-oxygen analgesia, or both, as appropriate, if he first:
- (a) Obtains written authorization from the licensed dentist of the patient to whom the local anesthetics, nitrous oxide-oxygen analgesia or local intraoral chemotherapeutic agents are to be administered; and
- (b) Submits to the Secretary-Treasurer a written confirmation from the director of the health care facility that the facility has licensed medical personnel and necessary emergency supplies and equipment that will be available when the local anesthetics, nitrous oxide-oxygen analgesia or local intraoral chemotherapeutic agents are administered.
- 5. The Board may authorize a dental hygienist to perform the services set forth in paragraphs (a) to (n), inclusive, of subsection 1 without supervision by a dentist and without authorization from the licensed dentist of the patient on whom the services are to be performed, at a health facility, a school or a place in this State approved by the Board after the Board:
- (a) Issues a special endorsement of the dental hygienist's license.
- (b) Approves the treatment protocol submitted by the dental hygienist which includes an explanation of the methods that the dental hygienist will use to:
 - (1) Treat patients; and
 - (2) Refer patients to a dentist for:
 - (I) Follow-up care;
 - (II) Diagnostic services; and
 - (III) Any service that the dental hygienist is not authorized to perform.
- 6. The Board may revoke the authorization described in subsection 5 if the:
- (a) Dental hygienist fails to renew his license or it is cancelled, suspended or revoked;
- (b) Board receives a complaint filed against the dental hygienist;
- (c) Dental hygienist commits an act which constitutes a cause for disciplinary action; or
- (d) Dental hygienist violates any provision of this chapter or chapter 631 of NRS. Nothing in this subsection prohibits a dental hygienist from reapplying for authorization to perform the services described in subsection 5 if the Board revokes the authorization pursuant to this subsection.

12 13

14

15 16

18

19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26. 27

28 S. Runcho Dr., Suite 17

Ph. (702) 862-8300 w.mpplaw.com

7. As used in this section:

- (a) "Health care facility" has the meaning ascribed to it in subsection 1 of NRS 449.800.
- (b) "Health facility" has the meaning ascribed to it in subsection 6 of NRS 449,260.
- (c) "School" means an elementary, secondary or postsecondary educational facility, public or private, in this State. [Bd. of Dental Exam'rs, § XXIII, eff. 7-21-82]--(NAC A 7-30-84; 4-3-89; 3-11-96; R154-97, 1-14-98; R217-99, 4-3-2000; R231-03, 5-25-2004; R139-05, 12-29-2005)
- 2. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Smith shall be and is hereby also permanently enjoined from using a Cavitron device or Cavitron like device (i.e., ultrasonic scaler) in the State of Nevada without a dental hygienist license properly issued from the Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners.
- IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant, 3. Andrea Brooksby Smith, aka Andrea Smith, is prohibited from employment or work at or in a dental office in the State of Nevada until such time as she complies with the following conditions. So there is no misunderstanding, Smith is required to comply with the following requirements/conditions before she can undertake or continue in an employment capacity or work at or in a dental office in the State of Nevada:
 - ĺ. Obtain adequate instruction concerning radiographic procedures and become qualified to operate radiographic equipment as required pursuant subsection 3 of NAC 459.552.
 - 2. Obtain training in cardiopulmonary resuscitation at least every 2 years while so employed at a dental office.

- 3. Obtain a minimum of 4 hours of continuing education in infection control every 2 years while so employed at a dental office
- 4. Obtain and review a copy of chapter 631 of the Nevada Administrative Code and chapter 631 of the Nevada Revised Statutes in paper or electronic format.

With regards to the above-referenced conditions/requirements, Smith shall provide the Court and the Board with written and/or documentary evidence she has complied with all such conditions/requirements before she can undertake or continue in an employment capacity or work at or in a dental office in the State of Nevada.

- 4. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED the Board may refer this matter to the appropriate law enforcement agency in light of the language at NRS 631.400(1) and (2) which provides:
 - 1. A person who engages in the illegal practice of dentistry in this State is guilty of a category D felony and shall be punished as provided in NRS 193.130, unless a greater penalty is provided pursuant to NRS 200.830 or 200.840.
 - Unless a greater penalty is provided pursuant to NRS 200.830 or 200.840, a person who practices or offers to practice dental hygiene in this State without a license, or who, having a license, practices dental hygiene in a manner or place not permitted by the provisions of this chapter:
 - (a) If it is his or her first or second offense, is guilty of a gross misdemeanor.
 - (b) If it is his or her third or subsequent offense, is guilty of a category D felony and shall be punished as provided in NRS 193.130.
- 5. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to NRCP 65(d), this Order granting Permanent Injunction is binding upon the "parties to the action, their officers, agents, servants, employees, and attorneys, and upon those persons in active concert or participation with them who receive

28 North Polith & Porce, LLP

13

14

15

16

17

18

19!

20

21

22

23

1	actual notice of the order by person service or otherwise."
3 4 5	6. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the orders herein shall remain in full force and effect until further order of this court.
6 7 8 9	post a bond for the payment of costs and damages as may be incurred by the adverse parties. See also NRCP 65(c) ("No such security shall be required of the State or of an officer or agency
10 11 12	DATED & DONE this day of, 2015.
14 15 16	THE HONORABLE ROB BARE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA DEPARTMENT 32; COURTROOM 3C
1 7 18	Morris Polich & Puriny 1.18 day of September 2015.
20 21 22 23	John A. Hunt, Esq. (NSBN 1888) Bert-Wuester Jr., Esq. (NSBN 5556) 500 South Rancho Drive, Suite 17 Las Vegas, Nevada 89106 ph. (702) 862-8300; fax (702) 862-8400 email: jhunt@mpplaw.com email: bwuester@mpplaw.com
24 25 26 27	Attorneys for Plaintiff H:\WDDOCS\3336\38569\LV170325.DOCX
28 Norm Polich & Fundy, 11.P Norm Polich & Fundy, 11.P Norm Polich & Fundy, 11.P Norm Polich (Norm)	Page 19 of 19